



Review

Lung transplant referral for individuals with cystic fibrosis: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation consensus guidelines



Kathleen J. Ramos^{a,*}, Patrick J. Smith^b, Edward F. McKone^c, Joseph M. Pilewski^d, Amy Lucy^e, Sarah E. Hempstead^e, Erin Tallarico^e, Albert Faro^e, Daniel B. Rosenbluth^f, Alice L. Gray^g, Jordan M. Dunitz^h, the CF Lung Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee¹

^a Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Dept of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

^b Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Behavioral Medicine Division, Department of Medicine, Pulmonary Division, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

^c National Referral Centre for Adult Cystic Fibrosis, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

^d Division of Pulmonary, Allergy & Critical Care Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^e Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Bethesda, MD, USA

^f Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Dept of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

^g Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado – Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

^h Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care Medicine and Sleep, Dept of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 December 2018

Revised 8 March 2019

Accepted 9 March 2019

Available online 27 March 2019

Keywords:

Transplant

Lung transplant

Referral

Advanced lung disease

Modifiable barriers

Communication

ABSTRACT

Objective: Provide recommendations to the cystic fibrosis (CF) community to facilitate timely referral for lung transplantation for individuals with CF.

Methods: The CF Foundation organized a multidisciplinary committee to develop CF Lung Transplant Referral Consensus Guidelines. Three workgroups were formed: timing for transplant referral; modifiable barriers to transplant; and transition to transplant care. A focus group of lung transplant recipients with CF and spouses of CF recipients informed guideline development.

Results: The committee formulated 21 recommendation statements based on literature review, committee member practices, focus group insights, and in response to public comment. Critical approaches to optimizing access to lung transplant include early discussion of this treatment option, assessment for modifiable barriers to transplant, and open communication between the CF and lung transplant centers.

Conclusions: These guidelines will help CF providers counsel their patients and may reduce the number of individuals with CF who die without consideration for lung transplant.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Cystic Fibrosis Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Contents

1. Introduction	322
2. Methods	322
2.1. Focus group	323
3. Discussion of recommendation statements	323
4. International considerations	330
5. Potential impact of CFTR modulators	330
6. Conclusions and Next Steps	331
Conflict of Interests statement	331

* Corresponding author at: 1959 NE Pacific Street, Box 356522, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

E-mail addresses: ramoskj@uw.edu (K.J. Ramos), patrick.j.smith@duke.edu (P.J. Smith), emckone@svhg.ie (E.F. McKone), pilewskijm@upmc.edu (J.M. Pilewski), shempstead@cff.org (S.E. Hempstead), etallarico@cff.org (E. Tallarico), afaro@cff.org (A. Faro), rosenbluthd@wustl.edu (D.B. Rosenbluth), alice.l.gray@ucdenver.edu (A.L. Gray), dunit01@umn.edu (J.M. Dunitz).

¹ For CF Lung Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee see [Appendix](#)

Acknowledgements	331
Appendix A. CF Lung Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee.	331
Appendix B. Supplementary data.	331
References.	331

1. Introduction

In the current era of improving life expectancy for individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF), pulmonary disease remains the primary cause of severe morbidity and mortality. Nevertheless, many individuals with CF die from respiratory failure without referral for lung transplantation (LTx) [1,2]. LTx referral is best initiated for individuals with advanced but not end-stage lung disease; emergent referral (Table 1) does not allow time for careful consideration of the LTx option and is not universally available. Early referral for LTx gives individuals with CF the opportunity to learn more about the risks and benefits of LTx, both generally and specific to their clinical situation, so they can make informed decisions. While LTx is not the right option for every individual with CF, the discussion is important for everyone. Early LTx referral increases the likelihood of an individual being a candidate for transplant by giving the patient an understanding of their specific barriers to LTx, as well as an opportunity to address those barriers. While many individuals who meet these Consensus Guidelines' criteria for referral will be too early for listing, it is important to recognize that referral does not necessarily lead to a full evaluation or listing, but instead gives individuals with CF, their families ("family" will be used throughout this document to refer to the patient's desired support system, which may or may not include relatives), and the CF care team access to the transplant-specific expertise of the LTx team. Progressing from routine CF care to LTx can be viewed as a transition. As modeled by the transition from pediatric to adult care, it is facilitated by education, communication and support for both the individual and family. Communication between the CF Center and Transplant Center is essential for a smooth transition. Early referral for LTx allows individuals to be medically, psychosocially, and financially prepared for LTx should the need arise. Late referral may lead to death on the transplant waiting list [2] or increased morbidity and/or mortality in the immediate post-operative period stemming from severe pre-operative illness [3]. While there may not be a perfect "window" for referral, an inclusive approach reduces the likelihood that eligible patients miss their opportunity for LTx. The goal of these Consensus Guidelines is to provide pragmatic recommendations and guidance to the CF community to facilitate better identification and timely referral, listing, and transplantation of those individuals with CF who have advanced lung disease (ALD).

2. Methods

The CF Foundation invited a multidisciplinary team including adult and pediatric CF and transplant pulmonologists, a clinical psychologist, a clinical social worker, a transplant recipient with CF, a former CF nurse, and a transplant coordinator to participate in development of consensus guidelines. The committee met for a virtual kickoff meeting on September 26, 2017 to determine the scope of the work and divide into three workgroups focusing on: timing for transplant referral; early referral and modifiable barriers; and transition to transplant care. Several PICO (Population, Intervention, Control, Outcome) questions were developed by each workgroup. These PICO questions were reviewed by the workgroup leaders and chairs to ensure there was no overlap between the working groups. The workgroups performed literature searches in PubMed. Information about the specific literature searches can be found in the Online Supplement. The workgroup leads and chairs met monthly to discuss the progress of their workgroups and address any questions and potential overlap between the workgroups.

Information was also gathered from a focus group of CF transplant recipients and spouses of recipients to inform the recommendation statements, tables and figures presented in this manuscript.

The workgroups developed draft recommendations based on data from the relevant publications identified in the literature searches and learnings from the focus group. Workgroups discussed the recommendations on monthly phone calls. The committee reconvened on May 11, 2018 to revise and vote on the draft recommendation statements. An a priori voting threshold of 80% agreement was established. The

Table 1
Explanation of terminology.

Lung transplant referral	The act of sending a request and transmitting medical records to a lung transplant center to assess an individual's candidacy for lung transplantation, usually through a clinic visit at the transplant center. In addition to the lung transplant assessment itself, the referral serves as an opportunity for the individual with cystic fibrosis (CF), their family, and the CF care team to gain access to the expert opinion of the lung transplant center. Depending on the situation and transplant program practices, it may result in a brief evaluation with a focus on education, discussion of potential barriers, or a complete evaluation.
Lung transplant evaluation	Consultation and diagnostic testing to assess disease severity and identify whether specific barriers to lung transplantation exist and whether those barriers are modifiable. The evaluation involves a comprehensive assessment of the individual's medical condition and comorbidities, psychosocial situation, and financial/insurance resources.
Lung transplant listing	Placement on the lung transplant waitlist as an appropriate candidate for lung transplant to await a suitable donor. Listing is dependent on the completion of an evaluation, approval by a lung transplant selection committee, and insurance coverage for the transplant. A patient's status on the list is fluid and can change based on disease severity or the development of contraindications after listing.
Early referral	Referral of an individual prior to the medical need for lung transplantation.
Timely referral	Referral of an individual with medical indications for lung transplantation, likely requiring listing, without an urgent indication for transplant (e.g. acute respiratory failure).
Late referral	Referral of an individual for transplant consideration when the individual is too sick to undergo routine transplant evaluation (e.g. emergent referral for an individual in acute respiratory failure). Late referral may lead to death without transplant because of inadequate time to proceed with listing or limited lung donor availability, or to poor post-transplant outcomes (e.g. increased post-operative morbidity/mortality) in the setting of severe pre-transplant illness.
Consultation with lung transplant center	Physician to physician conversation via phone, email or in-person about the individual with CF.
Communication between CF and lung transplant centers	Phone call, email, or medical record notes to the lung transplant team (MD, RN, social work, dietician, as indicated) from the CF care team and vice versa.

committee discussed each recommendation statement, and revised the phrasing of the statement as needed, prior to voting. After this meeting, the chairs and workgroup leads drafted the manuscript. The draft manuscript was reviewed and approved by the committee and focus group. On September 19, 2018, the lung transplant referral guidelines were distributed for public comment.

The committee reviewed the results of the public comment. Based on feedback received, the committee reconsidered eight recommendations (original statement numbers 1, 6, 9, 16, 17, 19, 20, and 21). These statements were revised and voted on again on a virtual meeting on December 7, 2018. Committee members who were unable to attend this meeting sent their votes to the guidelines specialist who tallied the results for the chairs.

2.1. Focus group

A focus group of seven CF transplant recipients and two spouses of recipients (hereafter referred to as “the focus group”) was organized to identify priority issues from the patient’s perspective. Although focus group content and data synthesis are qualitative by nature, these ancillary data sources can provide informative clinical data [4,5]. The focus group participated in seven, one-hour long video-calls led by a transplant psychologist (PJS) and an adult with CF (AL). Following an introductory session during which thematic content was identified and analyzed, the focus group participated in five content-specific calls and one summary review call. Themes identified during the introductory call included: 1) timing of transplant information delivered in CF Clinic, 2) individual’s transplant-related expectations, 3) treatment team transition issues (from CF to transplant care), 4) stigma associated with the need for transplantation, and 5) concerns regarding social support during the transition to transplant. All calls were video- and audio-recorded in order to facilitate re-analysis by focus group leaders.

3. Discussion of recommendation statements

There was 100% agreement among Lung Transplant Referral Committee members with the consensus guideline recommendation statements as published (listed in Table 2).

1. The CF Foundation recommends routine clinician-led efforts to discuss disease trajectory and treatment options, including a discussion of lung transplantation.

For individuals with CF and ALD, LTx confers a survival benefit [6] and post-transplant survival is increasing, with the current International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) registry report documenting 9.5 years median survival among adults with CF [7]. Therefore, periodic discussion of LTx is recommended to help destigmatize the procedure. Discussing LTx with individuals with normal lung function or only mildly reduced forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) should be seen as a form of anticipatory guidance for individuals and their families. The routine discussion of LTx can include an acknowledgement that the individual does not require transplant, which may relieve unspoken anxiety. Numerous members of the focus group noted that early introduction and normalization of LTx facilitated a more effective transition/referral process. In contrast, when LTx was introduced in the context of clinical deterioration, it was associated with increased fear, denial, and potential delay and/or avoidance of important clinical elements of care. Many focus group members characterized their impressions of LTx as ‘a death sentence’, ‘the beginning of the end’, or with similarly negative connotations, despite the improving post-transplant survival outcomes (Table 3). It was also noted in the focus group that individuals’ feelings toward transplant strongly mirrored that of their physician(s). For example, a strong association was noted between physicians who reportedly exhibited a negative bias toward transplant and individuals who felt fear, anxiety, and a sense of

Table 2
Recommendation Statements.

Recommendation	% Consensus among Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee (N = 16)
1 The CF Foundation recommends routine clinician-led efforts to discuss disease trajectory and treatment options, including a discussion of lung transplantation.	100%
2 The CF Foundation recommends CF care team initiated discussion regarding lung transplantation with all individuals with CF and an FEV ₁ <50% predicted.	100%
3 The CF Foundation recommends the use of up-to-date CF-specific transplant resources to promote understanding of the transplant journey and to minimize misconceptions regarding outcomes.	100%
4 The CF Foundation recommends that CF clinicians develop relationships with peers at partnering transplant centers to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • optimize the transition to transplant, starting with referral • understand transplant center-specific practices, including navigating complex socioeconomic barriers to transplant • maintain ongoing communication about clinical status of individuals listed or approaching transplant listing 	100%
5 The CF Foundation recommends that the individual’s CF care team elicit and address CF-specific psychosocial and physical concerns about lung transplantation to facilitate transition to transplant.	100%
6 The CF Foundation recommends that modifiable barriers to lung transplantation be addressed preemptively to optimize transplant candidacy; however, unresolved barriers should not preclude referral. Potentially modifiable barriers may include but are not limited to: nutritional status, diabetes management, physical inactivity or deconditioning, adherence behaviors, mental health issues, substance use, and psychosocial factors.	100%
7 The CF Foundation recommends the CF and lung transplant care teams acknowledge and provide support for mental health concerns regarding the referral and evaluation process for transplant that are unique to individuals with CF.	100%
8 For individuals with CF who are 18 years of age and older, the CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral no later than when: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FEV₁ is <50% predicted and rapidly declining (>20% relative decline in FEV₁ within 12 months) OR • FEV₁ is <40% predicted with markers of shortened survival (including those noted in recommendations #12–16) OR • FEV₁ is <30% predicted 	100%
9 For individuals with CF who are under the age of 18 years , the CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral no later than when: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FEV₁ is <50% predicted and rapidly declining (>20% relative decline in FEV₁ within 12 months) OR • FEV₁ is <50% predicted with markers of shortened survival (including those noted in recommendations #12–16) OR • FEV₁ is <40% predicted 	100%
10 For individuals with CF and an FEV ₁ < 40% predicted, the CF Foundation recommends an annual 6-min walk test (6MWT), assessment of need for supplemental oxygen, and venous blood gas to screen for markers of severe disease that may warrant transplant referral.	100%
11 For individuals with CF who are 18 years of age and older with FEV ₁ < 40% predicted, the CF Foundation recommends a baseline echocardiogram to screen for	100%

Table 2 (continued)

Recommendation	% Consensus among Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee (N = 16)
pulmonary hypertension.	
12 The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral, regardless of FEV ₁ , when there are markers of shortened survival, including:	100%
• 6MWT distance <400 m	
OR	
• hypoxemia (at rest or with exertion)	
OR	
• hypercarbia (P _a CO ₂ > 50 mmHg, confirmed on arterial blood gas)	
OR	
• pulmonary hypertension (PA systolic pressure > 50 mmHg on echocardiogram or evidence of right ventricular dysfunction in the absence of a tricuspid regurgitant jet)	
13 The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for adults with CF with a BMI <18 and FEV ₁ < 40% predicted while concurrently working to improve nutritional status.	100%
14 The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV ₁ < 40% predicted and > 2 exacerbations per year requiring IV antibiotics or 1 exacerbation requiring positive pressure ventilation regardless of FEV ₁ .	100%
15 The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV ₁ < 40% predicted and massive hemoptysis (>240 mL) requiring ICU admission or bronchial artery embolization.	100%
16 The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV ₁ < 40% predicted and pneumothorax.	100%
17 For females with CF, especially those who are younger, the CF Foundation recommends special consideration for lung transplant referral even when other thresholds have not been met.	100%
18 For individuals with short stature (height <162 cm), the CF Foundation recommends special consideration for lung transplant referral even when other thresholds have not been met.	100%
19 The CF Foundation recommends CF clinician consultation with local and geographically distant lung transplant centers for individuals with microorganisms that may pose a risk for lung transplantation (e.g. <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i> complex, nontuberculous mycobacterium, certain molds such as <i>scedosporium</i>).	100%
20 Before determining that an individual is not a transplant candidate the CF Foundation recommends consultation with at least two transplant centers, one of which should have experience addressing the individual's potential contraindications to transplantation.	100%
21 For transplant candidates, the CF Foundation recommends communication between the CF and lung transplant care teams at least every 6 months and with major clinical changes.	100%

personal failure. In contrast, individuals that reported having physicians who regarded transplant more positively, and who approached transplant as a treatment option that extends life for end-stage CF, felt more informed, confident, and optimistic about their future quality of life. Several specific recommendations in the consensus guidelines may help accomplish the broader goal to destigmatize discussion of LTx, including earlier physician-patient discussions of LTx as a treatment option for end-stage CF, the use of up-to-date sources of information related to LTx [8,9], and alternative discussion points for clinicians (Table 3). Discussion of disease trajectory and treatment options serves

as an opportunity to discuss treatment goals, which would inevitably include the possibility of LTx [10,11].

- The CF Foundation recommends CF care team initiated discussion regarding lung transplantation with all individuals with CF and an FEV₁ <50% predicted.

While many studies have demonstrated an association between FEV₁ and mortality [12–18], FEV₁ is an imperfect marker of disease severity. Survival with low lung function is improving, and some individuals with CF live for many years with severely reduced lung function while others die quickly following a decline in FEV₁ [1,12,19,20]. Determining which FEV₁ (best, worst, “baseline”, or during an exacerbation) should prompt action is challenging. Expert consensus concluded that an FEV₁ < 50% predicted, regardless of the context, should prompt discussion of LTx as a potential therapeutic option (Fig. 1). This discussion serves as an opportunity to identify barriers to LTx, to clarify the individual's goals of care (Table 4) and could be reasonably broached by any member of the care team. Some potential barriers to LTx could require years of work to correct in order for an individual to become an acceptable candidate – early discussion may permit this opportunity.

- The CF Foundation recommends the use of up-to-date CF-specific transplant resources to promote understanding of the transplant journey and to minimize misconceptions regarding outcomes.

Individuals with CF and their families should have access to contemporary, CF-specific information regarding LTx in order to optimize their understanding of potential transplant outcomes. These data can be found on the websites of several organizations, including the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CFF.org), the ISHLT (ishlt.org), the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR.org), and the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) (unos.org). The annual ISHLT Registry Report provides international disease-specific survival statistics and other clinical outcomes data. Many transplant centers have institutional websites that describe local practices and provide contact information for the transplant team. Decision aids or other technology-based sources of information could be useful to highlight misconceptions/misunderstandings and facilitate an accurate fund of knowledge regarding LTx [21–24]. Another potential resource is connecting people with CF with individuals who have undergone LTx to address concerns regarding transplantation [9].

- The CF Foundation recommends that CF clinicians develop relationships with peers at partnering transplant centers to:

- optimize the transition to transplant, starting with referral
- understand transplant center-specific practices, including navigating complex socioeconomic barriers to transplant
- maintain ongoing communication about clinical status of individuals listed or approaching transplant listing

Identifying peers at partnering transplant centers allows for improved communication and facilitates continuity of care. CF care teams can deliver the best care to individuals at their home CF Center when they are aware of the partnering lung transplant center's practices. Clear communication of the necessary medical information at the time of transplant referral will streamline the process and increase efficiency for both teams (see CFF.org for a transplant referral form template). CF teams should develop an understanding of the partnering transplant centers' approach to multiple organ transplantation (e.g. lung/liver, lung/kidney) and preferred timing of referral in those cases. Maintaining a relationship between the CF team and partnering transplant team allows for a smooth transplant referral and ongoing co-management of individuals in the pre-transplant phase. CF providers, including all members of the CF care team, can reinforce the importance

Table 3
Focus group-derived themes and considerations for communication with individuals with cystic fibrosis.

Thematic domain	Provider exemplars from focus group	Effective alternative exemplars for clinicians
Destigmatize the need for lung transplantation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'We will think about transplant when it's time [for transplant]' - 'Transplant is to be avoided if at all possible.' - 'You're trading one disease for another.' 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'Transplant is often considered as a next step as CF worsens.' - 'Many individuals with CF will undergo transplant as a component of their care when other therapies no longer work.' - 'What have other providers told you, if anything, about the risks and benefits of transplant?' - 'Tell me your understanding of transplant so that I can help provide more information.' - 'While there are certainly risks associated with transplantation, there is a very good chance that it will increase the quality and length of your life.'
Eliciting and addressing CF-related concerns and outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'Your lungs have failed you.' - 'We [treatment team] have failed you.' - 'You fought hard but lost the battle.' - 'Your test results indicate we need to move forward with a transplant referral. We will set up your appointments.' 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'You've fought hard and done your part. For many people living with CF, lung function will eventually worsen to the point where we consider transplant, no matter how hard you fight.' - 'We have done the best we can and we now need to consider transplant as the next step to best treat your CF.' - 'Most people have some concerns about transplantation. Help me to understand yours.' - 'Your test results suggest that we may need to consider a transplant evaluation. What thoughts do you have about that possibility?'
Addressing transplant-related expectations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'Recipients only live 5 years.' - 'Many patients don't do well after transplant.' - 'Transplant is a death sentence.' 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 'Everyone's transplant experience is different and unique. For people with CF the median post-transplant survival is 9.5 years. That means that half of the people who undergo lung transplantation for CF live for >9.5 years, many significantly more.' - 'Individuals with CF who receive lung transplants show the greatest health and quality of life benefits compared to other lung transplant recipients. Although there are potential complications, the vast majority are manageable.'

of ongoing work by the individuals with CF and caregivers to address outstanding concerns. Having connections at multiple transplant centers may be valuable in the setting of insurance limitations and the widely varying practices and requirements of lung transplant programs across the United States (US).

5. The CF Foundation recommends that the individual's CF care team elicit and address CF-specific psychosocial and physical concerns about lung transplantation to facilitate transition to transplant.

Numerous psychosocial, physical, and care-related concerns emerged from the focus group as being particularly salient among individuals with CF. Increased complexity of care, the potential for decentralization of care (leaving the CF center), worsening impairments in quality of life at a young age, the potential loss of CF identity, concerns regarding family planning, relationship issues, and education/career could influence their approach to the transplant process [25]. There is decreased access to referral, listing and LTx for individuals with CF and lower socioeconomic status [26,27]. Geographic disparities in access to LTx are an important consideration for people with CF [26]. A recent study demonstrated increased risk of death without LTx and a younger age at death for Hispanic individuals with CF [28]. Providing psychosocial support to individuals with CF during the transition requires an understanding of these (and other) CF-specific psychosocial concerns. Care and assistance from family is vital for individuals on the transplant journey. LTx teams also need to acknowledge and address CF-specific concerns related to transplant, as some issues may persist post-transplant.


6. The CF Foundation recommends that modifiable barriers to lung transplantation be addressed preemptively to optimize transplant candidacy; however, unresolved barriers should not preclude referral. Potentially modifiable barriers may include but are not limited to: nutritional status, diabetes management, physical inactivity or deconditioning, adherence behaviors, mental health issues, substance use, and psychosocial factors.

The number of individuals with CF who die each year without lung transplant referral remains significant [1]. A survey of physicians in the US demonstrated that potentially modifiable barriers are a frequent reason for non-referral [29]. Modifiable barriers to transplant should be identified preemptively in CF clinic, potentially years prior to the need for LTx, but these do not need to be fully resolved prior to a referral (Table 4). Learning about barriers to transplant directly from the transplant team serves to reinforce the messages given by the CF team and may prompt increased effort on behalf of the individual with CF to correct these concerns so that transplant may be an option. Transplant providers can articulate how these issues specifically affect their transplant candidacy and provide tools and motivation to address these barriers.

The transplant team can provide center-specific education for individuals with CF and their families. The transplant program can assess barriers in the context of transplant candidacy as a whole, and determine exactly what progress needs to be made in order for someone to become an acceptable candidate for transplant. Additionally, non-medical barriers to LTx, including insurance status, geography, finances, medical literacy, and limited social support, may influence not only when to refer for transplant, but also where to refer. Certain psychosocial factors may take years of work in order to optimize a candidate for lung transplant.

7. The CF Foundation recommends the CF and lung transplant care teams acknowledge and provide support for mental health concerns regarding the referral and evaluation process for transplant that are unique to individuals with CF.

Many focus group members noted that the idea of requiring LTx may elicit reflexive, internal attributions that the need for transplant reflects



*In the presence of contraindications, recommend discussion with at least two transplant centers prior to determining that an individual is not a transplant candidate

Fig. 1. Lung function thresholds for discussion of lung transplantation and timing of lung transplant referral.

a failure of their own adherence behaviors. Because the importance of adherence-related behaviors is often underscored for many individuals with CF and their families, and these behaviors are often integrally tied to clinical outcomes, this implicit association may inadvertently elicit feelings of shame [30,31] or stigma [32]. For example, many individuals in the focus group characterized coping styles that would ‘fight’ or ‘beat’ CF through vigilant adherence behaviors [33–35]. Care teams are encouraged to identify and address individuals’ negative self-directed emotions because they can lead to avoidance of clinical interactions and delay the receipt of appropriate care [36,37]. Mental health concerns, such as depression and anxiety, should also be assessed through routine screening at least annually and formal mental health treatment should be considered if indicated [38]. For most transplant centers, management of common mental health concerns using psychotropic medications is not a contraindication for transplantation and may optimize an individual’s ability to cope during a period of greater distress and worsening quality of life. In addition, providers should be aware that the introduction of uncertainty about patients’ eligibility for LTx may serve to increase ambivalence and ultimately avoidance of transplant-related knowledge or health decisions [39,40]. Moreover, depression and anxiety are common among individuals with CF [38] and, if persistent and inadequately treated, may adversely impact LTx outcomes [41,42].

8. For individuals with CF who are 18 years of age and older, the CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral no later than when:

- FEV₁ is <50% predicted and rapidly declining (>20% relative decline in FEV₁ within 12 months)

OR

- FEV₁ is <40% predicted with markers of shortened survival (including those noted in recommendations #12–16)

OR

- FEV₁ is <30% predicted

Because FEV₁ is associated with mortality [12–18], FEV₁ thresholds were identified to prompt further action, including evaluation for markers of shortened survival and/or lung transplant referral. Markers of shortened survival include low FEV₁, 6-min walk test (6MWT) distance <400 m, room air hypoxemia (SpO₂ < 88% or P_aO₂ < 55 mmHg, at rest or with exertion; at sea level), hypercarbia (P_aCO₂ > 50 mmHg,

confirmed on arterial blood gas), pulmonary hypertension (PA systolic pressure > 50 mmHg on echocardiogram or evidence of right ventricular dysfunction in the absence of a tricuspid regurgitant jet), BMI <18 kg/m² for adults (or BMI less than 5th percentile for children), increased frequency of pulmonary exacerbations (>2 exacerbations per year requiring IV antibiotics or one exacerbation requiring positive pressure ventilation), massive hemoptysis, or pneumothorax [1,12–19,25,43,44]. Additionally, a low physical functioning score on the CFQ-R questionnaire has been associated with reduced survival and, while there was no consensus recommendation for use of CFQ-R in routine clinical practice, a Physical score < 30 could be considered alongside other markers of shortened survival in the decision to refer for transplant [44,45].

Although FEV₁ alone should not determine timing of lung transplant referral, referral should occur no later than when the non-exacerbation (“stable”) FEV₁ is <30% predicted. Among individuals with a “stable” FEV₁ < 30% predicted in the US, approximately 10% die without LTx each year after reaching this threshold [1]. For individuals with frequent exacerbations, it may be difficult to assess a “stable” FEV₁, but these individuals may benefit from referral (see recommendation #14). Rapidly declining FEV₁ has been shown to predict death without LTx [19,46,47]. A recent study of a sample of individuals with CF who died in the US found that 38% had a documented “highest” FEV₁ that was >40% in the year prior to death, highlighting that a rapid decline in FEV₁ may precede death for many individuals with CF [48]. Recommendations #12–16 identify individuals with risk profiles highlighted in published prognostic models [12,14–18].

9. For individuals with CF who are **under the age of 18 years**, the CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral no later than when:

- FEV₁ is <50% predicted and rapidly declining (>20% relative decline in FEV₁ within 12 months).

OR

- FEV₁ is <50% predicted with markers of shortened survival (including those noted in recommendations #12–16).

OR

- FEV₁ is <40% predicted.

Individuals with CF frequently transition to adulthood with FEV₁ in the normal or only mildly impaired range, with only 5% of 18 year olds having ALD (FEV₁ < 40%) in 2015 [49]. Children with

Table 4

Clinical and educational milestones for lung transplant referral.

<p>At diagnosis of CF and throughout the life-span</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Individuals with CF should establish and maintain care with a physician trained in the natural history, prognosis, and treatment options for CF. - Discuss lung transplantation early in the course of disease as a means to destigmatize this component of clinical care. Introduce it as a potential treatment option that prolongs life for individuals with CF. - Frame lung transplantation in a positive manner, instead of as a “last resort” or “failure of therapy,” because care team attitudes affect willingness to discuss lung transplantation when it becomes medically necessary for individuals with CF. - Utilize up-to-date, CF specific, resources when providing lung transplant outcomes information. 	<p>When lung function declines to FEV₁ < 30% predicted</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the presence of CF-related liver disease, identification of liver cirrhosis (e.g. abdominal ultrasound) may affect the timing and/or transplant center of choice for referral. Chronic kidney disease may warrant consideration of lung-kidney transplant. Early communication with partnering transplant centers is important in the case of a potential need for multiple organ transplantation. - Referral for all individuals under 18 years of age. - Referral for all individuals.
<p>When lung function declines to FEV₁ < 50% predicted</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discuss values and goals of care. - Consider having a CF Clinic appointment dedicated to advanced care planning. - Implement all indicated medical therapies to optimize lung function and overall health. - Discuss ways to optimize health/lung function to delay lung transplantation, and the importance of adherence pre- and post-transplant. - Optimize body mass index (BMI) with appropriate nutrition interventions. - Initiate discussion regarding lung transplantation; early discussion gives individuals with CF time to consider lung transplantation when it is not urgently needed. - Note that lung transplant referral is not an event, it is a process that begins with informed discussions of benefits, risks, indications, and contraindications. - Elicit and address CF-related concerns about lung transplantation, such as: fear, shame, and giving up the “fight” against CF. - Explain the logistics of lung transplantation at the “home” CF Center. - Assess for potential barriers to transplant: medical, psychosocial, financial. - Discuss potential geographic barriers to lung transplant (e.g. potential need to relocate, distance to travel for evaluation, insurance limitations to lung transplant center options for coverage). - Acknowledge differences in clinical outcomes and the potential need for increased support for individuals with disadvantaged backgrounds. 	<p>Modifiable barriers to lung transplantation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue at least annual monitoring for markers of shortened survival. Individuals with CF can have prolonged survival with FEV₁ < 30% predicted, but it is important to continue monitoring for complications of CF that increase the risk of death without lung transplant. - Update the local transplant center every 6 months or with clinical changes. - Malnutrition (BMI <18 kg/m² for adults or BMI < 5th percentile for children) may be a contraindication to lung transplantation, but is modifiable. As lung disease progresses, malnutrition becomes more prevalent. Recommend use of oral supplements and the Enteral Tube Feeding Guidelines for management of malnutrition. - CF-related diabetes is associated with increased pulmonary exacerbations, weight loss, and lung function decline. Suboptimal management of diabetes may be a marker of non-adherence and treatment could slow disease progression. Diabetes control should be optimized to maximize medical management of advanced CF and minimize transplant-associated risk. - Chronic opiate use may impair post-lung transplant pain management and may be a contraindication at certain centers. - Substance use has been associated with worse post-transplant outcomes and there are center-specific practices related to substance use. - Promote strategies to optimize adherence, as many transplant centers consider non-adherence to medical care a relative (and sometimes absolute) contraindication to listing/transplant. - Early referral permits education and contract setting, if needed, and an opportunity to assess capacity for adherence. - Anxiety and depression are prevalent in individuals with CF, become more prevalent with advanced lung disease, and can impair recovery from transplant, especially if inadequately treated. Identify and treat mental health issues that may negatively impact transplant candidacy and outcomes. - Address psychosocial barriers such as: caregiver support, finances, and health literacy.
<p>When lung function declines to FEV₁ < 40% predicted</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase frequency of CF clinic visits (e.g. more often than quarterly), if indicated, to address contributing factors. - Emphasize the importance of nutrition, diabetes control, physical conditioning, self-care, mental health, adherence, and social support and correlate them with clinical outcomes and implications for candidacy for transplant. - Evaluate for markers of shortened survival using 6-min walk test (6MWT), nocturnal oximetry, blood gas, and echocardiogram. - Assess for organisms with implications for transplant candidacy (such as: nontuberculous mycobacteria, fungus, and <i>Burkholderia</i> species). - Referral for individuals with markers of increased severity of disease (e.g. 6MWT distance <400 m, supplemental oxygen requirement, hypercarbia, pulmonary hypertension, adults with BMI <18). Discuss implications for decreased survival in the presence of markers of increased severity of disease as the rationale for referral to consider lung transplantation. 	<p>Special considerations</p>	<p><i>The following may impact lung transplantation candidacy depending on transplant center-specific practices:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Certain infections (<i>Burkholderia cenocepacia</i>, <i>Burkholderia gladioli</i>, <i>Burkholderia dolosa</i>, <i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i>, <i>Scedosporium prolificans</i>)

Decision to initiate lung transplant referral	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Renal insufficiency - Liver disease - Critical or unstable clinical condition (e.g. mechanical ventilation or ECMO) - Cardiac dysfunction - Prior thoracic surgery - Prior lung transplantation - History of cancer - Physical inactivity or deconditioning - It is important not to rely solely on the FEV₁ for timing of referral. Incorporate other markers of shortened survival into the referral decision: hypoxemia, hypercarbia, shortened 6MWT distance, increasing frequency/severity of exacerbations, low BMI, pulmonary hypertension, massive hemoptysis, pneumothorax, female sex, and short stature. - Refer early to avoid missing the lung transplant window. - Refer early to give opportunity to explore other options if first transplant center declines the individual as a candidate. - Provide detailed information about clinical history, markers of disease severity, and potential contraindications (see CFF.org for a transplant referral form template). - The balance of independence versus the need for social support is especially complex for adolescents and young adults. - Acknowledge the stress, expense, invasiveness of procedures (e.g. colonoscopy, right heart catheterization), and waiting involved with referral and evaluation. - Discuss insurance and financial implications. - Assess geographic barriers to transplantation and identify transplant centers where individuals have the most social support. - When a referral is “early,” it is an opportunity to get detailed information about transplant and serves as a second opinion that could identify important modifiable barriers to eventual transplantation. - Referral during a crisis, or rapid decline, may limit an individual's access to transplant depending on local transplant center practices. - Consider facilitating pre-transplant individual's communication with a CF transplant recipient (e.g. local network, CFF Peer Connect, or Lung Transplant Foundation).
Decision to defer listing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the United States, consult at least two lung transplant centers prior to determining that an individual is not a transplant candidate if the first center declines to list the individual because of a contraindication. - If an individual is “too early” for listing, then establish a plan for continued monitoring and communication with the transplant team. - Continued communication with the transplant center includes information about changes in clinical status, social situation, and goals of care.
Decision to list for transplant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communicate with the transplant center about changes in the individual's status while listed. - Lung Allocation Score (LAS) is used for determining lung transplant recipient priority in the US. Important components of the LAS include: age, forced vital capacity (FVC), pCO₂, oxygen requirement, BMI, 6MWT distance, pulmonary arterial pressure, presence of diabetes, diagnosis, and functional status. - Continue to acknowledge the stress, expense, waiting time, and uncertainty for individuals awaiting lung transplant.

CF tend to have reduced survival compared to adults with the same FEV₁% predicted. For this reason, expert consensus was that children with CF (under age 18 years) should be referred for LTx at an earlier FEV₁ threshold than the average adult individual [46]. For children with markers of increased disease severity (including those noted in recommendations #12–16) consideration for referral prior to the FEV₁ < 40% threshold is recommended. Referral at a higher FEV₁ threshold for this younger population gives more time to address modifiable barriers. Additionally, there are a limited number of transplant centers with pediatric experience, which further contributes to the need for early referral in order to gain insurance approvals and access to these centers.

10. For individuals with CF and an FEV₁ < 40% predicted, the CF Foundation recommends an annual 6-min walk test (6MWT), assessment of need for supplemental oxygen, and venous blood gas to screen for markers of severe disease that may warrant transplant referral.

The 6-min walk test (6MWT) distance is used regularly in Canada, Ireland and other parts of the world to assess the clinical status of individuals with advanced/deteriorating CF-related lung disease [50,51]. Expert consensus was that annual testing with a 6MWT, an assessment for supplemental oxygen requirement, and venous blood gas (VBG) would provide clinically meaningful data for patients with ALD, based on a “stable” FEV₁ < 40% predicted (Table 5). Assessment for supplemental oxygen requirement (SpO₂ < 88% or P_aO₂ < 55 mmHg) should occur at rest, with exertion, and during sleep [12,52–54]. An annual VBG should be used to screen for hypercarbia, and if P_vCO₂ is elevated (P_vCO₂ > 56 mmHg), a confirmatory arterial blood gas (ABG) should be obtained. In the pediatric population, begin to perform testing when the “stable” FEV₁ < 50% predicted (Table 5).

11. For individuals with CF who are 18 years of age and older with FEV₁ < 40% predicted, the CF Foundation recommends a baseline echocardiogram to screen for pulmonary hypertension.

Pulmonary hypertension is common in individuals with advanced CF-related lung disease [43,54–57], but its presence is rarely identified prior to evaluation for LTx. Repeat echocardiogram should be considered for individuals with worsening clinical status. In the pediatric population, perform baseline echocardiogram when the FEV₁ is <50% predicted.

12. The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral, regardless of FEV₁, when there are markers of shortened survival, including:

- 6MWT distance <400 m

OR

- hypoxemia (at rest or with exertion)

OR

- hypercarbia (P_aCO₂ > 50 mmHg, confirmed on arterial blood gas)

OR

- pulmonary hypertension (PA systolic pressure > 50 mmHg on echocardiogram or evidence of right ventricular dysfunction in the absence of a tricuspid regurgitant jet)

Adults with “stable” FEV₁ >40% predicted are unlikely to have these data available unless their respiratory symptoms are out of proportion to their FEV₁.

Table 5
Committee's consensus for testing recommendations for individuals with FEV₁ < 40% (adults) or FEV₁ < 50% (pediatrics).

Test	Action
6-min walk test	Annual evaluation Refer if distance <400 m (1,312 ft) Refer in the presence of rest or exertional supplemental oxygen requirement
Venous blood gas	Annual evaluation Perform arterial blood gas if venous pCO ₂ > 56 mmHg Refer if arterial pCO ₂ > 50 mmHg
Echocardiogram	Assess baseline estimate of pulmonary pressure Refer if PA systolic pressure > 50 mmHg or evidence of right ventricular dysfunction in the absence of a tricuspid regurgitant jet

The 6MWT distance is associated with death or LTx for individuals with CF [56,58–60]. The ISHLT recommends referral for LTx evaluation when the 6MWT distance is <400 m [61]. Although a sub-maximal exercise test for a majority of individuals with CF, the 6MWT is a marker of functional status and may better reflect limitations experienced by individuals with ALD than the FEV₁ alone.

Supplemental oxygen requirement and/or low P_aO₂ have been repeatedly associated with death without LTx for individuals with CF [1,12,52,53,56,62]. Hypercarbia is a known predictor of death in individuals with CF [12,16,54,63]. Pulmonary hypertension has been associated with death without lung transplant [64–66], but echocardiograms are imperfect at determining the severity of pulmonary hypertension in patients with ALD and may identify “false positive” cases [67,68]. In an individual with FEV₁ < 40% whose only marker of increased disease severity is an elevated PA systolic pressure (>50 mmHg), a confirmatory right heart catheterization may be warranted prior to transplant referral.

13. The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for adults with CF with a BMI <18 and FEV₁ < 40% predicted while concurrently working to improve nutritional status.

Studies have shown low BMI is a risk factor for death without lung transplantation [1,14,15,18,69,70] and it should be considered a marker of urgency for lung transplant referral. Additionally, the trajectory of the BMI (e.g. rapidly declining) is clinically meaningful and may affect timing of referral. Minimum BMI thresholds vary from center to center in the US and low BMI should be proactively addressed (see Enteral Tube Feeding Guidelines) [71] as a modifiable barrier to transplant. While a specific BMI cutoff is not useful for defining malnutrition in the pediatric population and a weight-for-age > 10th percentile is a common goal [72], malnutrition (e.g. BMI less than 5th percentile for children) is an important modifiable barrier to lung transplantation for children with CF [73] and should be addressed concurrently with LTx referral for children with ALD.

14. The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV₁ < 40% predicted and > 2 exacerbations per year requiring IV antibiotics or 1 exacerbation requiring positive pressure ventilation regardless of FEV₁.

Increasing number of pulmonary exacerbations is associated with death without transplant among individuals with ALD, with risk increased in the setting of 1 or more courses of IV antibiotics [1,13,17,18,74] or the need for hospitalization [14,15,17,18,52]. The presence of acute hypercapnic or hypoxemic respiratory failure, or chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure necessitating positive pressure ventilation (e.g. noninvasive or invasive ventilatory support), in the hospital or home setting, should prompt referral for LTx regardless of FEV₁.

15. The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV₁ < 40% predicted and massive hemoptysis (>240 mL) requiring ICU admission or bronchial artery embolization.

Hemoptysis increases the risk for death or LTx [75,76]. There may be an increased risk for hypercapnic respiratory failure and death following bronchial artery embolization among individuals with ALD [77]. It is expert consensus that among individuals with CF and FEV₁ between 30% and 40% predicted, an episode of hemoptysis leading to ICU admission and/or bronchial artery embolization should prompt referral for LTx evaluation. Individuals referred for hemoptysis may have risk that is not captured in the lung allocation score (LAS), and massive hemoptysis may occur without warning, potentially prompting lung transplant centers to request an exception to the LAS. Additionally, individuals with CF and FEV₁ > 40% may also warrant LTx evaluation if episodes of hemoptysis are frequent and severe.

16. The CF Foundation recommends lung transplant referral for individuals with FEV₁ < 40% predicted and pneumothorax.

The occurrence of pneumothorax is more frequent among individuals with CF and severe pulmonary impairment (FEV₁ < 40%) and older age. Pneumothorax leads to an increased number of hospitalizations and number of days spent in the hospital, and an increase in 2-year mortality [78,79]. Recurrent pneumothorax is an indication for referral for LTx in the ISHLT recommendations for individuals with CF [61]. Furthermore, consultation with a transplant surgeon at a partnering center is recommended prior to non-urgent treatment of pneumothorax (e.g. surgical or medical pleurodesis), as these interventions may impact surgical planning for LTx.

17. For females with CF, especially those who are younger, the CF Foundation recommends special consideration for lung transplant referral even when other thresholds have not been met.

There is a persistent gender gap in survival for individuals with CF [1,12–15,62,80,81] and this recommendation aims to focus providers on the increased risk of death for females with CF. The increased risk of death for females is limited to the pre-transplant setting, as post-transplant survival is not worse for females compared to males with CF [80]. Special consideration for LTx referral is recommended for females who are younger, have CF-related diabetes, rapidly declining FEV₁, or rapidly declining BMI.

18. For individuals with short stature (height <162 cm), the CF Foundation recommends special consideration for lung transplant referral even when other thresholds have not been met.

Individuals with shorter stature (under 162 cm) have an increased risk of death on the lung transplant waitlist [82,83]. This disadvantage is at least partially explained by the difficulties in finding donor lungs of the correct size and is of particular importance among pediatric lung transplant candidates. This recommendation aims to focus CF providers on the potential for a long wait time and the need for early referral of shorter individuals with CF.

19. The CF Foundation recommends CF clinician consultation with local and geographically distant lung transplant centers for individuals with microorganisms that may pose a risk for lung transplantation (e.g. *Burkholderia cepacia* complex, nontuberculous mycobacterium, certain molds such as *scedosporium*).

Infection with certain microorganisms (e.g. *Burkholderia cepacia* complex, nontuberculous mycobacterium, molds such as *scedosporium*, multi-drug resistant or increasingly resistant microorganisms) is associated with worse outcomes following lung transplantation [18,61,84–89]. These microorganisms may be considered absolute contraindications at some transplant programs and acceptable at others

based on program experience and risk aversion. Similarly, infection with a particular organism may not in and of itself be considered an absolute contraindication by a program, but if combined with other risk factors, may be a reason an individual is declined for LTx. Institution-specific microbiological contraindications shift over time, so it is important not to make assumptions about transplant candidacy based on prior practice.

20. Before determining that an individual is not a transplant candidate the CF Foundation recommends consultation with at least two transplant centers, one of which should have experience addressing the individual's potential contraindications to transplantation.

While there are established international consensus recommendations for the selection of lung transplant candidates, published by the ISHLT [61], each lung transplant program has developed its own specific criteria for transplant candidacy and listing. Individuals who are declined at one transplant center may be deemed suitable at another center. In the US, criteria differ widely based on institutional experience, resources and risk thresholds. In particular, some high-volume lung transplant programs will consider individuals with renal or liver disease (potentially for multi-organ transplant) or pathogenic microorganisms that are considered absolute contraindications at other programs. Transplant center practices evolve over time, so communicating with multiple transplant programs maximizes access to current practice. Once a candidate has been denied transplant by the insurance provider's preferred transplant center, an appeal can often result in that person being granted access to a center that will consider the individual as an appropriate candidate. While it may be financially infeasible for an individual to travel to a geographically distant transplant center, it is important to consider this option for those who are declined for LTx locally. Consultation (Table 1) with a transplant center with experience addressing an individual's potential contraindications to LTx would inform the individual, and the CF care team, without necessarily involving an in-person visit to the center. The US has a unique healthcare system, with >60 lung transplant centers, and this recommendation may not easily generalize to countries with fewer transplant centers.

21. For transplant candidates, the CF Foundation recommends communication between the CF and lung transplant care teams at least every 6 months and with major clinical changes.

Early referral for LTx allows individuals with CF to establish a relationship with the transplant team, obtain transplant specific education, and identify and modify barriers to transplant. For individuals with CF deemed "too early" for listing, ongoing communication at set time intervals will allow for continued assessment of disease progression (e.g. development of markers of increased disease severity noted in recommendations #12–16; significant clinical events) and readiness for transplant. Significant clinical events include, but are not limited to: exacerbation requiring IV antibiotics (especially if increasing in frequency), hospitalization, increase in oxygen requirement, non-invasive positive pressure or mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure, new CF-related diabetes, new sputum microbiology (e.g. new *Burkholderia* or nontuberculous mycobacterium), significant decline in 6MWT or FEV₁. It is beneficial for all members of the interdisciplinary team (social worker, mental health coordinator, dietician, physical therapist, respiratory therapist and nurse coordinator) to share information affecting an individual's candidacy with their counterparts as different information is disclosed to different team members.

For individuals deferred due to barriers, communication should highlight progress toward addressing barriers to transplant. It is critical for the transplant team to be aware of changes in clinical status, which may affect transplant candidacy as well as timing for listing. Open

lines of communication between the CF and lung transplant care teams regarding patients who are listed or approaching listing are vital if an individual has a sudden deterioration. Geographic and program-specific donor availability and waitlist times may influence the timing of listing. Further, certain characteristics such as height, chest cavity size, ABO blood type and human leukocyte antigen (HLA) sensitization may result in challenges finding a suitable donor and impact timing for listing. Finally, local lung transplant center practices will necessarily influence how CF providers interpret and implement these consensus recommendations.

4. International considerations

Outside of the US, there is a broadly similar experience with delays in referral for LTx. Both European [2] and Australian [90] studies show that many individuals die without receiving LTx. It is likely that similar barriers to LTx seen in the US exist in many countries and guidelines for timely referral for lung transplant assessment are welcome. In addition to the barriers outlined earlier in this statement, there are a number of unique challenges in Europe as many of the smaller European countries do not have a transplant program and must refer to neighboring countries. This leads to further barriers such as inability of very sick individuals to travel (often significant distances by air), language and cultural difficulties throughout the transplant process, and complexities of cross-country funding and follow-up. Even in countries with well-established programs, many individuals with CF die without receiving LTx [2]. In the UK, in a recent survey of 28 specialty CF centers [91] 22% of respondents expressed concerns that LTx was discussed with patients too late and 19% expressed concerns that individuals with CF were referred for transplant assessment too late. Barriers to referral in the UK included patient refusal, poor-adherence and psychological readiness as well as unexpected rapid clinical deterioration or the presence of significant comorbidities/infections that could preclude transplant. In France, with a well-developed National Lung Transplant Program, including the introduction of an emergency transplant program in 2007, 50% of all CF deaths occur without lung transplantation of which 72% had at least one indication for transplant and were not on the active transplant list [2]. Although the majority of these individuals were in the process of lung transplant assessment or had been declined for LTx, 39% of these potentially eligible individuals with CF had never been considered for transplant [2]. A subsequent survey of French centers [92] proposed an earlier structured approach to transplant assessment including improved patient and caregiver education and earlier discussions of transplant options. These studies, in countries with well-established transplant programs and specialized CF centers, highlight that transplant referral challenges are worldwide and that barriers to early referral need to be identified and overcome.

5. Potential impact of CFTR modulators

Consideration of the effect of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) modulator therapy on these consensus guideline recommendations is important because there is growing evidence that CFTR modulators improve outcomes for a majority of individuals with CF [93–96] and may deliver a sustained benefit over time [97]. While CFTR modulators may change the trajectory of lung function decline, for the foreseeable future there will be many individuals with CF and ALD. There is no data describing survival with ALD in the era of highly-effective CFTR modulator therapy. In the setting of markers of increased disease severity (e.g. FEV₁ < 30% predicted, or those noted in recommendations #12–16) these consensus guidelines should guide discussions about LTx and decisions about the timing of referral. LTx referral should not be delayed based on anticipated availability or effect of current or future CFTR modulators.

6. Conclusions and Next Steps

Survival for individuals with CF has improved dramatically over the past few decades and this improvement is expected to accelerate with new agents that address the cellular defect in CF. Nevertheless, the majority of individuals with CF still eventually succumb to their lung disease. LTx has the potential to extend survival for individuals with CF. Despite this fact, evidence indicates that many individuals with CF who die from respiratory disease are never referred for transplant [2,27]. Presumably, some deaths may have been prevented if LTx had been considered and a referral made. Available data suggests the reasons for non-referral may not be due to absolute contraindications to transplant [2,29]. Research on factors contributing to lack of transplant referral for individuals with CF and FEV₁ < 30% or those with higher lung function who die of respiratory disease is warranted. There is a potential application for quality improvement methodology to improve the LTx referral process in a systematic manner.

These guidelines are intended to help CF providers appropriately counsel their patients about LTx and decrease the number of individuals with CF who die from lung disease without consideration of LTx. The journey through referral, evaluation, listing and transplantation is fraught with physical and psychosocial challenges for the individual and their family. LTx requires careful consideration and is not the right therapy for all individuals with advanced CF-related lung disease. It is the responsibility of both the CF and the transplant teams to provide support through this process. Many of the barriers to LTx can be overcome if identified and addressed early enough in the course of the disease to permit adequate time for resolution. While data are limited, there is a growing body of literature to support the use of FEV₁ combined with other physiologic parameters including pulmonary artery pressure, blood gas (to detect hypoxemia or hypercarbia), trajectory of lung function and nutritional status to determine appropriate timing of referral and listing for transplant. While most individuals who meet these Consensus Guidelines' criteria for referral will be too early for listing, it is important to recognize that referral does not necessarily prompt a full evaluation or listing, but instead gives individuals with CF, their families, and providers access to the expertise of the LTx team and the opportunity to fully consider LTx as a treatment option. The individual with CF will then have sufficient information to make a decision if the need for LTx arises.

Conflict of Interests statement

funding for KJR includes: NIH (K23HL138154) and CF Foundation (RAMOS17A0; LEASE16A3) grants; no other relevant sources of financial support or conflicts of interest identified for the authors.

Acknowledgements

The authors and the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation would like to thank the members of the focus group of CF transplant recipients and spouses of CF recipients who were instrumental in setting the tone for these Lung Transplant Referral Consensus Guidelines. The focus group included: Heather McCoy, Kasey Seymor, Tyler Patterson, Jennifer Staashelm, Lindsay Bishop, John Roddy, Scott Hastie, and Fanny Vlahos.

Appendix A. CF Lung Transplant Referral Guidelines Committee

Kathleen J. Ramos – Chair: ramoskj@uw.edu
 Jordan M. Dunitz – Chair: dunitz001@umn.edu
 Daniel B. Rosenbluth: rosenbluthd@wustl.edu
 Daniel J. Dorgan: Paul F. Harron Jr. Lung Center, Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care, Dept of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA; daniel.dorgan@uphs.upenn.edu
 Alice L. Gray: alice.l.gray@ucdenver.edu

George B. Mallory Jr.: Department of Pediatrics, Section of Pediatric Pulmonology, Baylor College of Medicine, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA; gbmallor@texaschildrens.org
 Patrick J. Smith: patrick.j.smith@duke.edu
 Martha Markovitz: Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA; martha.markovitz@med.usc.edu
 Melinda Solomon: Division of Respiratory Medicine, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada; melinda.solomon@sickkids.ca
 Erin L. Mahoney: Department of Internal Medicine, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL, USA; emahoney@lumc.edu
 Amy Lucy: amy.lucy1012@yahoo.com
 John R. McArdle: Dept of Pulmonary & Critical Care, Starling Physicians, Hartford, CT, USA; john.mcardle@hhchealth.org
 Joseph M. Pilewski: pilewskijm@upmc.edu
 Albert Faro: afaro@cff.org
 Erin Tallarico: etallarico@cff.org
 Sarah E. Hempstead: shempstead@cff.org

Appendix B. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2019.03.002>.

References

- [1] Ramos KJ, Quon BS, Heltshe SL, Mayer-Hamblett N, Lease ED, Aitken ML, et al. Heterogeneity in survival in adult patients with cystic fibrosis with FEV₁ < 30% of predicted in the United States. *Chest* 2017;151(6):1320–8.
- [2] Martin C, Hamard C, Kanaan R, Boussaud V, Grenet D, Abely M, et al. Causes of death in French cystic fibrosis patients: the need for improvement in transplantation referral strategies! *J Cyst Fibros* 2016;15(2):204–12.
- [3] Braun AT, Dasenbrook EC, Shah AS, Orens JB, Merlo CA. Impact of lung allocation score on survival in cystic fibrosis lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015;34(11):1436–41.
- [4] Tong A, Chapman JR, Israni A, Gordon EJ, Craig JC. Qualitative research in organ transplantation: recent contributions to clinical care and policy. *Am J Transplant* 2013;13(6):1390–9.
- [5] Barbour RS. The role of qualitative research in broadening the 'evidence base' for clinical practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2000;6(2):155–63.
- [6] Thabut G, Christie JD, Mal H, Fournier M, Brugière O, Leseche G, et al. Survival benefit of lung transplant for cystic fibrosis since lung allocation score implementation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;187(12):1335–40.
- [7] Chambers DC, Cherikh WS, Goldfarb SB, Hayes D, Kucheryavaya AY, Toll AE, et al. The international thoracic organ transplant Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: thirty-fifth adult lung and heart-lung transplant report—2018; focus theme: multiorgan transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018;37(10):1169–83.
- [8] Skelton SL, Waterman AD, Davis LA, Peipert JD, Fish AF. Applying best practices to designing patient education for patients with end-stage renal disease pursuing kidney transplant. *Prog Transplant* 2015;25(1):77–84.
- [9] Waterman AD, Morgievlch M, Cohen DJ, Butt Z, Chakkeria HA, Lindower C, et al. Living donor kidney transplantation: improving education outside of transplant Centers about live donor transplantation—recommendations from a consensus conference. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2015;10(9):1659–69.
- [10] Song M-K, Dabbs AJDV. Advance care planning after lung transplantation: a case of missed opportunities. *Prog Transplant* 2006;16(3):222–5.
- [11] Dellon EP, Chen E, Goggin J, Homa K, Marshall BC, Sabadosa KA, et al. Advance care planning in cystic fibrosis: current practices, challenges, and opportunities. *J Cyst Fibros* 2016;15(1):96–101.
- [12] Kerem E, Reisman J, Corey M, Canny GJ, Levison H. Prediction of mortality in patients with cystic fibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326(18):1187–91.
- [13] Liou TG, Adler FR, Fitzsimmons SC, Cahill BC, Hibbs JR, Marshall BC. Predictive 5-year survivorship model of cystic fibrosis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;153(4):345–52.
- [14] Aaron SD, Stephenson AL, Cameron DW, Whitmore GA. A statistical model to predict one-year risk of death in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2015;68(11):1336–45.
- [15] Stephenson AL, Tom M, Berthiaume Y, Singer LG, Aaron SD, Whitmore GA, et al. A contemporary survival analysis of individuals with cystic fibrosis: a cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2015;45(3):670–9.
- [16] Belkin RA, Henig NR, Singer LG, Chaparro C, Rubenstein RC, Xie SX, et al. Risk factors for death of patients with cystic fibrosis awaiting lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173(6):659–66.
- [17] Mayer-Hamblett N, Rosenfeld M, Emerson J, Goss CH, Aitken ML. Developing cystic fibrosis lung transplant referral criteria using predictors of 2-year mortality. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166(12 Pt 1):1550–5.
- [18] Nkam L, Lambert J, Latouche A, Bellis G, Burgel PR, Hocine MN. A 3-year prognostic score for adults with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros* 2017;16(6):702–8.
- [19] Milla CE, Warwick WJ. Risk of death in cystic fibrosis patients with severely compromised lung function. *Chest* 1998;113(5):1230–4.

- [20] George P, Banya W, Pareek N, Bilton D, Cullinan P, Hodson M, et al. Improved survival at low lung function in cystic fibrosis: cohort study from 1990 to 2007. *BMJ* 2011;342:d1008.
- [21] Vandemheen KL, O'Connor A, Bell SC, Freitag A, Bye P, Jeanneret A, et al. Randomized trial of a decision aid for patients with cystic fibrosis considering lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180(8):761–8.
- [22] Jain A, Corrivau S, Quinn K, Gardhouse A, Vegas DB, You JJ. Video decision aids to assist with advance care planning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(6):e007491.
- [23] Stacey D, Vandemheen KL, Hennessey R, Gooyers T, Gaudet E, Mallick R, et al. Implementation of a cystic fibrosis lung transplant referral patient decision aid in routine clinical practice: an observational study. *Implement Sci* 2015;10(1):17.
- [24] O'Connor AM, Llewellyn-Thomas HA, Flood AB. Modifying Unwarranted Variations In Health Care: Shared Decision Making Using Patient Decision Aids: A review of the evidence base for shared decision making. *Health Affairs* 2004;23(Suppl2)VAR-63–72.
- [25] Castellani C, Duff AJ, Bell SC, Heijerman HG, Munck A, Ratjen F, et al. ECFS best practice guidelines: the 2018 revision. *J Cyst Fibros* 2018;17(2):153–78.
- [26] Quon BS, Psoter K, Mayer-Hamblett N, Aitken ML, Li CI, Goss CH. Disparities in access to lung transplantation for patients with cystic fibrosis by socioeconomic status. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186(10):1008–13.
- [27] Ramos KJ, Quon BS, Psoter KJ, Lease ED, Mayer-Hamblett N, Aitken ML, et al. Predictors of non-referral of patients with cystic fibrosis for lung transplant evaluation in the United States. *J Cyst Fibros* 2016;15(2):196–203.
- [28] Rho J, Ahn C, Gao A, Sawicki GS, Keller A, Jain R. Disparities in mortality of Hispanic cystic fibrosis patients in the United States: a national and regional cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018;198(8):1055–63.
- [29] Ramos KJ, Somayaji R, Lease ED, Goss CH, Aitken ML. Cystic fibrosis physicians' perspectives on the timing of referral for lung transplant evaluation: a survey of physicians in the United States. *BMC Pulm Med* 2017;17(1):21.
- [30] Dolezal L. The phenomenology of shame in the clinical encounter. *Med Health Care Philos* 2015;18(4):567–76.
- [31] Drnhh CR. Reactions to Physician-inspired shame and guilt. *Basic Appl Soc Psychol* 2014;36:9–26.
- [32] Oliver KN, Free ML, Bok C, McCoy KS, Lemanek KL, Emery CF. Stigma and optimism in adolescents and young adults with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros* 2014;13(6):737–44.
- [33] Abbott J, Hart A, Morton A, Gee L, Conway S. Health-related quality of life in adults with cystic fibrosis: the role of coping. *J Psychosom Res* 2008;64(2):149–57.
- [34] Abbott J. Coping with cystic fibrosis. *J R Soc Med* 2003;96(Suppl. 43):42–50.
- [35] Taylor JL, Smith PJ, Babyak MA, Barbour KA, Hoffman BM, Sebring DL, et al. Coping and quality of life in patients awaiting lung transplantation. *J Psychosom Res* 2008;65(1):71–9.
- [36] Miller SM, Shoda Y, Hurley K. Applying cognitive-social theory to health-protective behavior: breast self-examination in cancer screening. *Psychol Bull* 1996;119(1):70–94.
- [37] RS HCD. Shame in physician-patient interactions: patient perspectives. *Basic Appl Soc Psychol* 2009;31:325–34.
- [38] Quittner AL, Abbott J, Georgiopoulos AM, Goldbeck L, Smith B, Hempstead SE, et al. International Committee on Mental Health in Cystic Fibrosis: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and European Cystic Fibrosis Society consensus statements for screening and treating depression and anxiety. *Thorax* 2016;71(1):26–34.
- [39] Han PK, Moser RP, Klein WM. Perceived ambiguity about cancer prevention recommendations: relationship to perceptions of cancer preventability, risk, and worry. *J Health Commun* 2006;11(Suppl. 1):51–69.
- [40] Portnoy DB, Han PK, Ferrer RA, Klein WM, Clauser SB. Physicians' attitudes about communicating and managing scientific uncertainty differ by perceived ambiguity aversion of their patients. *Health Expect* 2013;16(4):362–72.
- [41] Dew MA, DiMartini AF, Dobbels F, Grady KL, Jowsey-Gregoire SG, Kaan A, et al. The 2018 ISHLT/APM/AST/ICACC/STSW recommendations for the psychosocial evaluation of adult cardiothoracic transplant candidates and candidates for long-term mechanical circulatory support. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018;37(7):803–23.
- [42] Smith PJ, Blumenthal JA, Carney RM, Freedland KE, O'Hayer CVF, Trulock EP, et al. Neurobehavioral functioning and survival following lung transplantation. *Chest* 2014;145(3):604–11.
- [43] Venuta F, Tonelli AR, Anile M, Diso D, De Giacomo T, Ruberto F, et al. Pulmonary hypertension is associated with higher mortality in cystic fibrosis patients awaiting lung transplantation. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 2012 Dec;53(6):817–20.
- [44] Hirche TO, Knoop C, Hebestreit H, Shimmin D, Solé A, Elborn JS, et al. Practical guidelines: lung transplantation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Pulm Med* 2014;2014.
- [45] Sole A, Perez I, Vazquez I, Pastor A, Escriva J, Sales G, et al. Patient-reported symptoms and functioning as indicators of mortality in advanced cystic fibrosis: a new tool for referral and selection for lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2016;35(6):789–94.
- [46] Robinson W, Waltz DA. FEV(1) as a guide to lung transplant referral in young patients with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2000;30(3):198–202.
- [47] Augarten A, Akons H, Aviram M, Bentur L, Blau H, Picard E, et al. Prediction of mortality and timing of referral for lung transplantation in cystic fibrosis patients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2001;5(5):339–42.
- [48] Chen E, Homa K, Goggin J, Sabadosa KA, Hempstead S, Marshall BC, et al. End-of-life practice patterns at U.S. adult cystic fibrosis care centers: A national retrospective chart review. *J Cyst Fibros* 2017;17(4):548–54.
- [49] Registry CFFP. Annual data report. *Cystic Fibrosis Foundation* 2016. Maryland: Bethesda; 2015.
- [50] K R. Personal Communication with M Solomon; September 2018.
- [51] Ramos K. Personal Communication with E McKone; May 2018.
- [52] Ellaifi M, Vinsonneau C, Coste J, Hubert D, Burgel PR, Dhainaut JF, et al. One-year outcome after severe pulmonary exacerbation in adults with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171(2):158–64.
- [53] Liou TG, Adler FR, Cox DR, Cahill BC. Lung transplantation and survival in children with cystic fibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357(21):2143–52.
- [54] Venuta F, Rendina EA, Rocca GD, De Giacomo T, Pugliese F, Ciccone AM, et al. Pulmonary hemodynamics contribute to indicate priority for lung transplantation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2000;119(4 Pt 1):682–9.
- [55] Tonelli AR, Fernandez-Bussy S, Lodhi S, Akindipe OA, Carrie RD, Hamilton K, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary hypertension in end-stage cystic fibrosis and correlation with survival. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29(8):865–72.
- [56] Vizza CD, Yusen RD, Lynch JP, Fedele F, Alexander Patterson G, Trulock EP. Outcome of patients with cystic fibrosis awaiting lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162(3 Pt 1):819–25.
- [57] Scarsini R, Prioli MA, Milano EG, Castellani C, Pesarini G, Assael BM, et al. Hemodynamic predictors of long term survival in end stage cystic fibrosis. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;202:221–5.
- [58] Martin C, Chapron J, Hubert D, Kanaan R, Honore I, Paillasser JL, et al. Prognostic value of six minute walk test in cystic fibrosis adults. *Respir Med* 2013;107(12):1881–7.
- [59] Kadikar A, Maurer J, Kesten S. The six-minute walk test: a guide to assessment for lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1997;16(3):313–9.
- [60] Ciriaco P, Egan TM, Cairns EL, Thompson JT, Dettlerbeck FC, Paradowski LJ. Analysis of cystic fibrosis referrals for lung transplantation. *Chest* 1995;107(5):1323–7.
- [61] Weill D, Benden C, Corris PA, Dark JH, Davis RD, Keshavjee S, et al. A consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: 2014—an update from the pulmonary transplantation Council of the International Society for heart and lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015;34(1):1–15.
- [62] Aurora P, Wade A, Whitmore P, Whitehead B. A model for predicting life expectancy of children with cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2000;16(6):1056–60.
- [63] Tantisira KG, Systrom DM, Ginns LC. An elevated breathing reserve index at the lactate threshold is a predictor of mortality in patients with cystic fibrosis awaiting lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;165(12):1629–33.
- [64] Hayes Jr D, Tumin D, Daniels CJ, McCoy KS, Mansour HM, Tobias JD, et al. Pulmonary artery pressure and benefit of lung transplantation in adult cystic fibrosis patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101(3):1104–9.
- [65] Hayes Jr D, Tobias JD, Mansour HM, Kirkby S, McCoy KS, Daniels CJ, et al. Pulmonary hypertension in cystic fibrosis with advanced lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;190(8):898–905.
- [66] Flores JS, Rovveder PM, Ziegler B, Pinotti AF, Barreto SS, Dalcin Pde T. Clinical outcomes and prognostic factors in a cohort of adults with cystic fibrosis: a 7-year follow-up study. *Respir Care* 2016;61(2):192–9.
- [67] Arcasoy SM, Christie JD, Ferrari VA, Sutton MS, Zisman DA, Blumenthal NP, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary hypertension in patients with advanced lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167(5):735–40.
- [68] Fisher MR, Forfia PR, Chamera E, Houston-Harris T, Champion HC, Gargis RE, et al. Accuracy of Doppler echocardiography in the hemodynamic assessment of pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;179(7):615–21.
- [69] Vedam H, Moriarty C, Torzillo PJ, McWilliam D, Bye PT. Improved outcomes of patients with cystic fibrosis admitted to the intensive care unit. *J Cyst Fibros* 2004;3(1):8–14.
- [70] Snell GI, Bennetts K, Bartolo J, Levvey B, Griffiths A, Williams T, et al. Body mass index as a predictor of survival in adults with cystic fibrosis referred for lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1998;17(11):1097–103.
- [71] Schwarzenberg SJ, Hempstead SE, McDonald CM, Powers SW, Wooldridge J, Blair S, et al. Enteral tube feeding for individuals with cystic fibrosis: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation evidence-informed guidelines. *J Cyst Fibros* 2016;15(6):724–35.
- [72] Yen EH, Quinton H, Borowitz D. Better nutritional status in early childhood is associated with improved clinical outcomes and survival in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Pediatr* 2013;162(3):530–5 [e531].
- [73] Hulzbos EH, Bomhof-Roordink H, van de Weert-van Leeuwen PB, Twisk JW, Arets HG, van der Ent CK, et al. Prediction of mortality in adolescents with cystic fibrosis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46(11):2047–52.
- [74] de Boer K, Vandemheen KL, Tullis E, Doucette S, Fergusson D, Freitag A, et al. Exacerbation frequency and clinical outcomes in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. *Thorax* 2011;66(8):680–5.
- [75] Flume PA, Yankaskas JR, Ebeling M, Hulsey T, Clark LL. Massive hemoptysis in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2005;128(2):729–38.
- [76] Vidal V, Therasse E, Berthiaume Y, Bommart S, Giroux MF, Oliva VL, et al. Bronchial artery embolization in adults with cystic fibrosis: impact on the clinical course and survival. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2006;17(6):953–8.
- [77] Town JA, Aitken ML. Deaths related to bronchial arterial embolization in patients with cystic fibrosis: three cases and an institutional review. *Chest* 2016;150(4):e93–8.
- [78] Flume PA, Strange C, Ye X, Ebeling M, Hulsey T, Clark LL. Pneumothorax in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2005;128(2):720–8.
- [79] Spector ML, Stern RC. Pneumothorax in cystic fibrosis: a 26-year experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1989;47(2):204–7.
- [80] Raghavan D, Gao A, Ahn C, Kaza V, Finklea J, Torres F, et al. Lung transplantation and gender effects on survival of recipients with cystic fibrosis. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2016;35(12):1487–96.
- [81] Reid DW, Blizzard CL, Shugg DM, Flowers C, Cash C, Greville HM. Changes in cystic fibrosis mortality in Australia, 1979–2005. *Med J Aust* 2011;195(7):392–5.

- [82] Sell JL, Bacchetta M, Goldfarb SB, Park H, Heffernan PV, Robbins HA, et al. Short stature and access to lung transplantation in the United States. A cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193(6):681–8.
- [83] Kourliouros A, Hogg R, Mehew J, Al-Aloul M, Carby M, Lordan JL, et al. Patient outcomes from time of listing for lung transplantation in the UK: are there disease-specific differences? *Thorax* 2019;74(1):60–8.
- [84] Aris RM, Routh JC, LiPuma JJ, Heath DG, Gilligan PH. Lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis patients with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. Survival linked to genomovar type. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;164(11):2102–6.
- [85] Stephenson AL, Sykes J, Berthiaume Y, Singer LG, Aaron SD, Whitmore GA, et al. Clinical and demographic factors associated with post-lung transplantation survival in individuals with cystic fibrosis. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015;34(9):1139–45.
- [86] Olland A, Falcoz PE, Kessler R, Massard G. Should cystic fibrosis patients infected with *Burkholderia cepacia* complex be listed for lung transplantation? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2011;13(6):631–4.
- [87] Parize P, Boussaoud V, Poinsignon V, Sitterle E, Botterel F, Lefevre S, et al. Clinical outcome of cystic fibrosis patients colonized by *Scedosporium* species following lung transplantation: A single-center 15-year experience. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2017;19(5).
- [88] Chalermkulrat W, Sood N, Neuringer IP, Hecker TM, Chang L, Rivera MP, et al. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria in end stage cystic fibrosis: implications for lung transplantation. *Thorax* 2006;61(6):507–13.
- [89] Stephenson AL, Sykes J, Berthiaume Y, Singer LG, Chaparro C, Aaron SD, et al. A clinical tool to calculate post-transplant survival using pre-transplant clinical characteristics in adults with cystic fibrosis. *Clin Transplant* 2017;31(6).
- [90] Bell SC, Bye P, Cooper PJ, Martin AJ, McKay KO, Robinson PJ, et al. Cyst fibrosis Aust 2009;195(7):396–400 results from a data registry. 2011.
- [91] West NE, Francis SJ, Medhurst ND, Thaxton AL, Tallarico E, Cosgriff R, et al. WS18.2 The transition towards lung transplant for cystic fibrosis in the United Kingdom. *J Cyst Fibros* 2018;17:S33.
- [92] David V, Perrin A, Pougneon-Bertand D, Kanaan R, Kerbrat M, Le Rhun A. WS18.4 Evaluation of transplantation information delivered to patients and their relatives by the professionals from cystic fibrosis centres and transplant centres and from transplanted peer-patients in France. *J Cyst Fibros* 2018;17:S33–4.
- [93] Ramsey BW, Davies J, McElvaney NG, Tullis E, Bell SC, Drevinek P, et al. A CFTR potentiator in patients with cystic fibrosis and the G551D mutation. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(18):1663–72.
- [94] Taylor-Cousar JL, Munck A, McKone EF, van der Ent CK, Moeller A, Simard C, et al. Tezacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis homozygous for Phe508del. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377(21):2013–23.
- [95] Davies JC, Moskowitz SM, Brown C, Horsley A, Mall MA, McKone EF, et al. VX-659-Tezacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis and one or two Phe508del alleles. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379(17):1599–611.
- [96] Keating D, Marigowda G, Burr L, Daines C, Mall MA, McKone EF, et al. VX-445-Tezacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis and one or two Phe508del alleles. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379(17):1612–20.
- [97] Sawicki GS, McKone EF, Pasta DJ, Millar SJ, Wagener JS, Johnson CA, et al. Sustained benefit from ivacaftor demonstrated by combining clinical trial and cystic fibrosis patient registry data. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192(7):836–42.